2 3 4 5 6	Kevin I. Shenkman (SBN 223315) Mary R. Hughes (SBN 222662) Andrea A. Alarcon (SBN 319536) SHENKMAN & HUGHES 28905 Wight Road Malibu, California 90265 Telephone: (310) 457- 0970 R. Rex Parris (SBN 96567) Ellery S. Gordon (SBN 316655) PARRIS LAW FIRM 43364 10th Street West Lancaster, California 93534	
7	Telephone: (661) 949-2595	
8 9 10	Milton C. Grimes (SBN 59437) LAW OFFICES OF MILTON C. GRIME 3774 West 54th Street Los Angeles, California 90043 Talenhone: (323) 295-3023	es s
	Telephone: (323) 295-3023	
11	Robert Rubin (SBN 85084) LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT RUBIN 237 Princeton Avenue	
13	Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 298-4857	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	COUNTY O	SAN BERNARDINO
16		
	PICO NEIGHBORHOOD) Case No.: BC616804
17	ASSOCIATION and MARIA LOYA,	}
17 18	ASSOCIATION and MARIA LOYA, Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF MARY RUTH
	, in the second	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
18	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and	,
18 19	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS Date: June 25, 2019
18 19 20	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS
18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS Date: June 25, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m.
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS Date: June 25, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m.
18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS Date: June 25, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS Date: June 25, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS Date: June 25, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	HUGHES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO TAX COSTS Date: June 25, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m.

HUGHES DECLARATION

I, Mary Ruth Hughes, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law before all courts of the State of California and I am a principal of Shenkman & Hughes PC, attorneys of record for Plaintiffs in the above-captioned case. The facts set forth in this declaration are within my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify as follows:
- 2. From 2002 through 2010, I was an associate with the law firm of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and before that I was a summer associate with Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP. During my eight years at Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, I worked on both corporate transactional and litigation matters. For example, I was part of the teams that represented Encana Corp. and MCI WorldCom Inc., respectively, in litigation matters. In my litigation work at Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, I took and defended depositions, researched and drafted briefs, and worked with expert witnesses, among other things. In connection with my litigation work at Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, I was occasionally required to travel for example, I was required to travel to San Francisco in my work for Encana Corp. and I was required to travel to Washington D.C. in my work for MCI WorldCom Inc. I understand that Gibson Dunn & Crutcher billed separately for my travel expenses on those occasions.
- 3. In 2011, upon establishing Shenkman & Hughes, we created a website for the purpose of marketing our firm's services. At that time, we wanted to attract corporate clients and show potential corporate clients that we could handle nearly all of their legal needs, so I drafted a bio that focused on my corporate transactional experience, while my partner Kevin Shenkman's bio focused on his litigation experience, particularly intellectual property litigation. Our firm and our work changed drastically over the subsequent few years, but we have not changed our website since 2011. Since 2012, the majority of my work, and the work of our firm generally, has been on voting rights litigation, including the above-captioned case. The fact that our website does not mention voting rights litigation, or any other work we have done since the website was created in 2011, is a reflection of our firm's evolution now having no need to market our services with a website not a reflection of the work we have done since 2011.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 11th day of June 2019, at Malibu, California.

Mary Ruth Hughes